(*b*) What is the number of cases in which the amounts deposited on account of boundary marks charges during the period from 1924 to 35 have not been refunded to the parties, though over six months have elapsed, and though the lands were sub-divided and boundaries fixed (to be given talukwar)?

THE GENERAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (MR. H. V. RAMASVAMI).—

A.—(*a*) Columns 1 to 8 of the statement appended (*vide* Appendix).

(*b*) Column 9 of the statement appended (*vide* Appendix).

MR. S. KARIAPPA.—Is it right for local officers to file *takrar takhtes* for alleged encroachments when the boundaries themselves are not defined?

MR. H. V. RAMASVAMI.—If *takrar takhtes* are filed without reasonable cause, they will not be entertained at all.

MR. S. KARIAPPA.—Are the Government aware that the local officers are insisting on payment of certain fees when the raiyats apply for the definition of boundaries?

THE FIRST MEMBER (*Rajamantrapravina Diwan Bahadur* K. MATTHAN).—No. If there are any such cases, the Deputy Commissioner will look into them.

MR. K. CHENGALARYA REDDY.—Have the Government sanctioned any additional establishment for expediting *phod* work?

THE DIRECTOR OF LAND RECORDS (MR. G. B. HEBLIKAR).—No. The *hissa* survey establishments are entrusted with that work and some hands already employed in the department are sent to help them in expediting the work.

ANOTHER MEMBER.—On what basis is temporary *kandayam* collected by the Revenue Department pending the levy of permanent assessment by the Department of Land Records?

THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER (MR. N. MADHAVA RAO).—On the basis of the assessment prevailing in the surrounding places.

THE MEMBER.—Do the Revenue Department levy temporary *kandayam* on the basis of the highest prevailing rates in the locality?

MR. N. MADHAVA RAO.—No. But in some cases it is reported that such a thing has been done and in such cases orders have been issued to the effect that the highest prevailing rates should not be charged, but only the actual rate on neighbouring lands.

THE MEMBER.—Do the Government know that there are many cases of survey numbers not having been phoded at all for years together?

MR. N. MADHAVA RAO.—All such cases are receiving attention to the extent possible with the existing staff.

MR. B. L. BYANNA.—Are the Government aware that survey numbers 67 to 70 and 74 of Doddatoguru Village in Begur Hobli, Bangalore Taluk, have not been phoded for a number of years past?

MR. K. MATTHAN.—We shall enquire into the matter.

MR. G. KANCHAPPA.—How is it that no *hissa phod* work has been undertaken during the current year in any part of the Tumkur District?

MR. G. B. HEBLIKAR.—*Phod* work is taken up by turns. Just as last year Chitaldrug District was not taken up, this year Tumkur District was not. It is hoped that it will be possible to take up *hissa* work in Tumkur District in the course of the next year.

Communicating the Orders of Government on Representations, Questions, etc., not taken up at Meetings of the Representative Assembly.

MESSRS. T. MADIAH GOWDA and V. VENKATAPPA (Channapatna Taluk); R. DASAPPA (Devanhalli Taluk); A. M. VENKATASAMIAH (Closepet Municipality); VEERE GOWDA (Dodballapur Taluk); M. LINGE GOWDA (Kankanhalli Taluk); GURUSWAMI GOWDA (Hoskote Taluk); M. B. GURULINGIAH (Closepet Taluk); S. T. MALLASETTAPPA (Nelamangala Taluk); M. S. PUTTARAMASETTI and H. GANGAPPA (Magadi Taluk).—

Q.—6. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) What is the number of representations, resolutions and interpellations sent up to the Deputy Commissioners of Districts for selection during

the Dasara and Birthday Sessions in each of the past five years?

(*b*) What is the number selected out of them?

(*c*) What is the number discussed?

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (MR. K. V. ANANTARAMAN).—*A*.—(*a*) Statement is given below:—

Year	Session	Representations	Resolutions	Interpellations
1930 ...	Budget ...	259	42	62
	Dasara ...	318	56	57
1931 ...	Budget ...	399	57	105
	Dasara ...	401	82	123
1932 ...	Budget ...	483	103	128
	Dasara ...	437	93	153
1933 ...	Budget ...	559	123	147
	Dasara ...	635	135	159
1934 ...	Budget ...	449	128	190
	Dasara ...	831	169	210

The figures for 1930 do not include those sent to the Deputy Commissioners' meetings at Bangalore, Mysore, Shimoga and Chitaldrug as the connected papers are not available, and the figures for 1931 and 1932 do not include Bangalore District subjects.

(*b*) Under Rules 45, 33 and 23 of the Rules regulating the business of the Representative Assembly, the maximum number of representations, resolutions and interpellations that is allowed to be selected at the Deputy Commissioner's meeting is as follows and this number has been selected on every occasion.

	Representations	*Resolutions*	*Interpellations*
Budget Session ...	90	10	52
Dasara Session ...	180	26	52

(*c*) Statement is given below:—

Year	Session	Representations	Resolutions	Interpellations
1930 ...	Budget ...	32	1	24
	Dasara ...	66	9	25
1931 ...	Budget ...	5	4	18
	Dasara ...	21	8	22
1932 ...	Budget ...	35	Nil	23
	Dasara ...	35	8	28
1933 ...	Budget ...	22	3	21
	Dasara ...	58	6	23
1934 ...	Budget ...	31	2	22
	Dasara ...	70	4	35

MR. T. MADIAH GOWDA.—How is it that so many representations and interpellations were allowed to lapse during the past?

MR. K. V. ANANTARAMAN.—Obviously for want of time.

MR. T. MADIAH GOWDA.—Would it not be possible to see that many representations, etc., will not lapse at least in future?

MR. K. V. ANANTARAMAN.—It all depends on how the members utilise the time at their disposal.

MR. T. MADIAH GOWDA.—In cases where representations, resolutions and interpellations lapse, why not the Government consider them and pass orders and communicate them to the members concerned?

MR. K. V. ANANTARAMAN.—Government cannot consider undiscussed matters because it will not be possible for them to know the views of the House in respect of them.

MR. T. MADIAH GOWDA.—Why not the Deputy Commissioners take action on matters sent up for the district meetings but not included in the agenda of the Assembly?

MR. K. V. ANANTARAMAN.—Deputy Commissioners are doing it in all possible cases except those directly pertaining to District Boards.

MR. J. MAHOMED IMAM.—Would there be any objection on the part of Government to pass orders at least on representations though they may not actually come up for discussion in the Assembly?

MR. K. V. ANANTARAMAN.—The suggestion will be considered.

MR. BAGAMANE DEVA GOWDA.—So many questions are included in the agenda, but answers are not ready before the session closes. Even though answers getting ready subsequently are included in the proceedings as an appendix, copies of the proceedings are made available to the members long after. In the meanwhile the members who send up the same questions for discussion at the next session of the Assembly may find later on that the proceedings of the previous session already contain answers to them. Would it not therefore be desirable to send the answers to the concerned members as soon as they get ready?

MR. K. V. ANANTARAMAN.—That may be done.

Remission of Wet Assessment on lands under certain tanks in Ramasamudra in Chamarajanagar Taluk.

MESSRS. K. V. VEERANNA and GURUBASAPPA (Chamarajanagar Taluk); H. K. MADIAH and M. K. SUBBIAH (Malvalli Taluk); SIVANANJE GOWDA and SAVANDIAH (Mysore Taluk); T.M. KAPANAYYA GOWDA and K. M. KRISHNAPPA GOWDA (Nagamangala Taluk); S. K. SIDDE GOWDA, S. THIMME GOWDA *alias* THAMMAYYA GOWDA and A. LINGE GOWDA (Krishnarajpet Taluk); C. DASAPPA (Heggaddevankote Taluk); MIR RAZA ALI (Minorities); B. S. PUTTASWAMY (Nanjangud Taluk); H. HOMBE GOWDA, N. VEERARAJ URS and PATEL SIDDE GOWDA (T.-Narsipur Taluk); H. HONNAIYA and M. L. LINGANNA (Mandya Taluk); PATEL SANNA MALLAPPA (Yelandur Jahgir); K. KEMPE GOWDA (Mandya Municipality); A. THAMMAYYA (Minorities); B. SIDDALINGA SETTY (Special Interests) and S. C. MALLIAH (Maddur Taluk).—

Q.—9. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) Is it a fact that the raiyats holding lands under Doddakere, Chikkakere, Sindagere, Kodimolekere, etc., of Ramasamudra, Chamarajanagar Taluk, presented petitions to Government stating that there was a failure of crops owing to the tanks not having received sufficient supply of water in time and praying for remission of wet assessment; and if so, when?

(*b*) Was remission sanctioned as prayed for by the petitioners?

(*c*) What is the report of the Amildar in this matter? Will the Government be pleased to place this on the table of this House?

THE GENERAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (MR. H. V. RAMASVAMI).—

A.—(*a*) A petition was presented to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, on 10th January 1935, by the raiyats holding lands under the Sindagere tank.

(*b*) and (*c*) The matter is under correspondence.

MR. K. V. VEERANNA.—Is it not a fact that the raiyats presented a petition to the Revenue Commissioner in person in October 1934?

MR. H. V. RAMASVAMI.—Notice.

MR. K. V. VEERANNA.—Would the Government ascertain from the Revenue Commissioner and let us know what has happened to that petition?

THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER (MR. N. MADHAVA RAO).—It is difficult to expect orders to be passed on petitions submitted long before the commencement of the collection season.

MR. K. V. VEERANNA.—Is it usual to grant remissions after the collection season? In view of the delay that has occurred in this case, will the Revenue Commissioner at least issue stay orders until orders are passed on the petition?

MR. N. MADHAVA RAO.—As the First Member of Council stated yesterday, local revenue officers may themselves stay collection in cases in which remissions have been recommended.

MR. K. V. VEERANNA.—Remissions have been recommended in respect of lands under the Sindagere tank only. Does it mean that no remissions are called for in respect of lands under all the other tanks referred to in question (*a*)?

MR. N. MADHAVA RAO.—No. In a number of cases remissions have been recommended even without applications from the raiyats concerned.

MR. B. S. PUTTASWAMY.—Is it not a fact that even in respect of lands for which remissions have been recommended the local officers have been taking coercive steps and proceeding with collections?

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, MYSORE DISTRICT (MR. B.T. KESAVA IYENGAR).—As I informed the House yesterday, instructions have been issued to the Amildars concerned that collections should be restricted to only a half of the demand in respect of the lands for which remissions are recommended.

MR. B. S. PUTTASWAMY.—Is it not a fact that in those very cases the full demand is being collected actually? Will it not therefore be beneficial to the raiyats if circular instructions are issued to all the Amildars?